

TWO EXAMPLES OF LEFSCHETZ FIXED POINT FORMULA WITH RESPECT TO SOME BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

YOONWEON LEE*

ABSTRACT. The boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ were introduced in [5] by using the Hodge decomposition on the de Rham complex. In [6] the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz type fixed point formulas were proved on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary for some special type of smooth functions by using these two boundary conditions. In this paper we slightly extend the result of [6] and give two examples showing these fixed point theorems.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are going to discuss the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz type fixed point formula on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary with respect to some boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$. In [5] R.-T. Huang and the author introduced new boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ for the odd signature operator acting on the space of smooth differential forms on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary and in [6] they proved the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz type fixed point formulas for a special type of smooth functions with respect to the boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$. In this paper we slightly extend the result of [6] and give two examples showing the results obtained in [6]. Hence, this paper is a continuation of [6]. For a self-contained presentation, some material in [6] will be repeated for a background explanation.

We begin with the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz fixed point formula on a compact closed Riemannian manifold given in [1] and its generalization to a compact Riemann manifold with boundary given in [3]. Let (M, g^M)

Received February 13, 2024; Accepted February 23, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 58J20; Secondary: 14F40.

Key words and phrases: Lefschetz fixed point formula, simple fixed point, attracting and repelling fixed points, de Rham cohomology, boundary condition.

*This work was supported by INHA UNIVERSITY Research Grant.

be an m -dimensional compact closed Riemannian manifold and $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. A point $x_0 \in M$ is called a simple fixed point of f if

$$(1.1) \quad f(x_0) = x_0, \quad \det(\text{Id} - df(x_0)) \neq 0.$$

If x_0 is a simple fixed point, then the graph of f is transverse to $M \times M$ at (x_0, x_0) , which shows that simple fixed points are discrete. We define the Lefschetz number $L(f)$ by

$$(1.2) \quad L(f) = \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \text{Tr}(f^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)),$$

where $H^q(M)$ is computed with the coefficient \mathbb{R} . All through this paper the cohomology groups including $H^q(M)$, $H^q(Y)$ and $H^q(M, Y)$ are computed with respect to \mathbb{R} so that we ignore the torsion parts. It is shown in [1] (see also [8]) that if f has only simple fixed points, then

$$(1.3) \quad L(f) = \sum_{f(x)=x} \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(x)).$$

Let (M, Y, g^M) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary Y and $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map with $f(Y) \subset Y$. We assume that all fixed points of f are simple. On the boundary Y , we need one more ingredient. Let $f(x_0) = x_0$ with $x_0 \in Y$. Considering two maps $df(x_0) : T_{x_0}M \rightarrow T_{x_0}M$ and $d(f|_Y)(x_0) : T_{x_0}Y \rightarrow T_{x_0}Y$, there is a map

$$(1.4) \quad a_{x_0} := df(x_0)(\text{mod } T_{x_0}Y) : T_{x_0}M/T_{x_0}Y \rightarrow T_{x_0}M/T_{x_0}Y.$$

Since $T_{x_0}M/T_{x_0}Y$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} , it follows that a_{x_0} is a 1×1 matrix, which is a real number. Considering the map $f : M \rightarrow M$ with $f(Y) \subset Y$, a_{x_0} is a linear map from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ and hence a_{x_0} is identified with a non-negative real number. Since x_0 is a simple fixed point, it follows that $a_{x_0} \neq 1$. A simple boundary fixed point x_0 is called *attracting* and *repelling* if $0 \leq a_{x_0} < 1$ and $a_{x_0} > 1$, respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_0(f)$, $\mathcal{F}_Y^+(f)$ and $\mathcal{F}_Y^-(f)$ the set of all interior fixed points, attracting and repelling boundary fixed points of f , respectively. We

denote $\mathcal{F}_Y(f) = \mathcal{F}_Y^+(f) \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^-(f)$. It is shown in [3] that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad & \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} (f^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^+(f)} \operatorname{sign} \det \det (\operatorname{Id} - df(x)), \\
 & \sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} (f^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^-(f)} \operatorname{sign} \det \det (\operatorname{Id} - df(x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

As a reference, the Lefschetz fixed formula on a compact manifold with conical singularities was discussed in [2], which is irrelevant to this note.

On the other hand, new boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ were introduced in [5] and similar fixed point formulas were proved in [6] for a special type of smooth functions with respect to the boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$. In the next section, we are going to review the boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ and results obtained in [6]. In Section 3, we are going to give two examples showing these results.

2. The boundary conditions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ and de Rham complex $(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0/\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^\bullet(M), d)$

The material in this section is not new and most parts are found in Section 2 of [6]. However, for a self-contained presentation, we are going to review some material of Section 2 in [6]. Furthermore, we are going to complete the proofs of some results which were skipped in Section 2 of [6], and extend the results slightly, which justifies this section.

Let (M, Y, g^M) be an m -dimensional compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary Y . We choose a collar neighborhood U of Y which is diffeomorphic to $[0, \epsilon) \times Y$. We denote by (u, y) the coordinate of $[0, \epsilon) \times Y$ and by $du, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ the one form and vector field which is normal to Y on U . We assume that the metric g^M on U is the product one so that

$$(2.1) \quad g^M|_U = du^2 + g^Y,$$

where g^Y is a Riemannian metric on $\{0\} \times Y$. We denote by $d_q^Y : \Omega^q(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(Y)$ the exterior derivative acting on smooth q -forms on

Y and \star_Y be the Hodge star operator on Y which is induced from the Hodge star operator \star_M on M by $d \operatorname{vol}(M) = du \wedge d \operatorname{vol}(Y)$. Then, the formal adjoint $(d_q^Y)^* : \Omega^{q+1}(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^q(Y)$ is defined by $(d_q^Y)^* = (-1)^{mq+q+1} \star_Y d_q^Y \star_Y$ and the Laplacian $\Delta_Y^q : \Omega^q(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^q(Y)$ is defined by $\Delta_Y^q = (d_q^Y)^* d_q^Y + d_{q-1}^Y (d_{q-1}^Y)^*$. It follows from the Hodge decomposition theorem that

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega^q(Y) = \operatorname{Im} d_{q-1}^Y \oplus \mathcal{H}^q(Y) \oplus \operatorname{Im} (d_q^Y)^*,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{H}^q(Y) = \ker \Delta_Y^q = \{\omega_Y \in \Omega^q(Y) \mid d_q^Y \omega_Y = (d_{q-1}^Y)^* \omega_Y = 0\}.$$

Suppose that a q -form $\phi \in \Omega^q(M)$ satisfies $d_q \phi = d_{q-1}^* \phi = 0$, where $d_q : \Omega^q(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(M)$ is the exterior derivative on M and $d_{q-1}^* = (-1)^{mq+m+1} \star_M d_{m-q} \star_M$ is the formal adjoint of d_{q-1} . Simple computation shows that ϕ restricted to $\{0\} \times Y$ is expressed by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi|_Y &= (d_{q-1}^Y \varphi_1 + \varphi_2) + du \wedge \left((d_q^Y)^* \psi_1 + \psi_2 \right), \\ \varphi_1, \psi_1 &\in \Omega^\bullet(Y), \quad \varphi_2, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, φ_2 and $\star_Y \psi_2$ are the harmonic parts of $\iota^* \phi$ and $\iota^*(\star_M \phi)$, where $\iota : Y \rightarrow M$ is the natural inclusion. We define

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{K}^q = \{\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^q(Y) \mid d_q \phi = (d_{q-1})^* \phi = 0\}, \quad \mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{K}^q.$$

Replacing ϕ with $\star_M \phi$ yields $\star_Y \psi_2 \in \mathcal{K}^{m-q}$, which leads to the following result.

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \star_Y \mathcal{K}^{m-q} &= \{\psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^q(Y) \mid d_q \phi = (d_{q-1})^* \phi = 0\}, \\ \star_Y \mathcal{K} &= \bigoplus_{q=0}^{m-1} \star_Y \mathcal{K}^q. \end{aligned}$$

In the next two lemmas, we are going to show that \mathcal{K} is exactly the half of $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y)$ and $\mathcal{K} \oplus \star_Y \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y)$ (cf. Corollary 8.4 in [7]).

LEMMA 2.1.

$$\dim \mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y).$$

Proof. We note the following long exact sequence.

$$(2.7) \quad \longrightarrow H^q(M, Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_q} H^q(M) \xrightarrow{\iota_q^*} H^q(Y) \xrightarrow{\beta_q} H^{q+1}(M, Y) \longrightarrow$$

Since \mathcal{K} is a harmonic part of $\iota^* \phi$ for $\phi \in \Omega^\bullet(M)$, it follows that $\dim \mathcal{K} = \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \dim \operatorname{Im} \iota_q^*$. We denote

$$(2.8) \quad H_+^q(M, Y) := H^q(M, Y) \ominus H_-^q(M, Y), \quad H_-^{q+1}(M, Y) := \text{Im } \beta_q,$$

so that $H^q(M, Y) = H_+^q(M, Y) \oplus H_-^q(M, Y)$. It follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim H^q(M) &= \dim H_+^q(M, Y) + \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^*, \\ \dim H^q(Y) &= \dim H_-^{q+1}(M, Y) + \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^*, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \dim H^q(Y) &= \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \left(\dim H_-^{q+1}(M, Y) + \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \left(\dim H^{q+1}(M, Y) - \dim H_+^{q+1}(M, Y) + \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \left(\dim H^{q+1}(M, Y) - \dim H^{q+1}(M) + \dim \text{Im } \iota_{q+1}^* + \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^m \dim H^q(M, Y) - \sum_{q=1}^m \dim H^q(M) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^m \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^* + \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^0(M, Y) = 0$ and $H^0(M) = \mathbb{R}$, it follows from the Lefschetz-Poincaré duality that

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{q=1}^m \dim H^q(M, Y) - \sum_{q=1}^m \dim H^q(M) = 1.$$

Since $\iota_0^* : H^0(M) \rightarrow H^0(Y)$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $\dim \text{Im } \iota_0^* = 1$, which leads to

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \dim H^q(Y) &= 1 + \sum_{q=1}^m \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^* + \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^* \\ &= 2 \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} \dim \text{Im } \iota_q^*. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

LEMMA 2.2. \mathcal{K} is orthogonal to $\star_Y \mathcal{K}$, and hence $\mathcal{K} \oplus \star_Y \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y)$.

Proof. For any $\phi \in \Omega^q(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{q+1}(M)$ satisfying $d_q\phi = d_{q-1}^*\phi = 0$ and $d_{q+1}\omega = d_q^*\omega = 0$, it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi|_Y &= (d_{q-1}^Y\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) + du \wedge \left((d_q^Y)^* \psi_1 + \psi_2 \right), \\ \varphi_1, \psi_1 &\in \Omega^\bullet(Y), \quad \varphi_2, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y), \\ \omega|_Y &= (d_{q-1}^Y\omega_1 + \omega_2) + du \wedge \left((d_q^Y)^* \eta_1 + \eta_2 \right), \\ \omega_1, \eta_1 &\in \Omega^\bullet(Y), \quad \omega_2, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}^\bullet(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\varphi_2, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\psi_2, \eta_2 \in \star_Y\mathcal{K}$. In fact, $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{K}^q$ and $\eta_2 \in \star_Y\mathcal{K}^{m-1-q}$. It is enough to show that

$$(2.14) \quad \langle \varphi_2, \eta_2 \rangle_Y := \int_Y \varphi_2 \wedge \star_Y \eta_2 = 0.$$

Since $d_{m-q-1}(\star_M\omega) = 0$, it follows by Stokes' theorem that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M d_q\phi \wedge \star_M\omega = \int_M d_{m-1}(\phi \wedge \star_M\omega) = \int_Y \iota^*\phi \wedge \iota^*(\star_M\omega) \\ &= \int_Y (d_{q-1}^Y\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \wedge \star_Y \left((d_q^Y)^* \eta_1 + \eta_2 \right) = \int_Y \varphi_2 \wedge \star_Y \eta_2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Near the boundary Y , a q -form $\omega \in \Omega^q(M)$ can be expressed by $\omega = \omega_1 + du \wedge \omega_2$, where $\iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\omega_1 = \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\omega_2 = 0$. We denote ω by

$$(2.16) \quad \omega = \omega_1 + du \wedge \omega_2 = (\omega_1, \omega_2).$$

We denote $\mathcal{L}_0 = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 = (\star_Y\mathcal{K}, \star_Y\mathcal{K})$ and define the orthogonal projections

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}, \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1} &: \Omega^\bullet(Y) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(Y) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(Y), \\ \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0} &= (\text{Im } d^Y \oplus \mathcal{K}, \text{Im } d^Y \oplus \mathcal{K}), \\ \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1} &= (\text{Im}(d^Y)^* \oplus \star_Y\mathcal{K}, \text{Im}(d^Y)^* \oplus \star_Y\mathcal{K}). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward that

$$(2.18) \quad \Omega^\bullet(Y) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(Y) = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0} \oplus \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}, \quad \star_Y \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0} = \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1},$$

which shows that $\Omega^\bullet(Y) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(Y)$ is a symplectic vector space with Lagrangian subspaces $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}$. We define an involution $\Gamma : \Omega^q(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M)$ by

$$(2.19) \quad \Gamma\omega := i^{\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\frac{q(q+1)}{2}} \star_M \omega.$$

Then, Γ satisfies $\Gamma^2 = \text{Id}$. We define the odd signature operator by

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{D}_M : \Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M), \quad \mathcal{D}_M = d\Gamma + \Gamma d.$$

It is straightforward that

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{D}_M^2 = \Delta_M^q = d_q^* d_q + d_{q-1} d_{q-1}^*.$$

It is shown in Lemma 2.15 of [5] that $\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}$ are well posed boundary conditions for the odd signature operator \mathcal{D}_M . Then, \mathcal{D}_M with the boundary condition $\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}$ has a discrete spectrum. In particular, Δ_M^q with the boundary condition $\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}$ or $\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}$ has a discrete spectrum. We define

$$(2.22) \quad \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{q, \infty}(M) = \left\{ \phi \in \Omega^q(M) \mid \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}((\mathcal{D}_M^\ell \phi)|_Y) = 0, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{q, \infty}(M) = \left\{ \phi \in \Omega^q(M) \mid \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}((\mathcal{D}_M^\ell \phi)|_Y) = 0, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

For example, an eigenform ω of Δ_M^q satisfying $\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}(\omega) = \mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}(\mathcal{D}_M \omega) = 0$ ($\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}(\omega) = \mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}(\mathcal{D}_M \omega) = 0$) belongs to $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{q, \infty}(M)$ ($\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{q, \infty}(M)$). Simple computation shows that for each q the exterior derivative d maps $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{q, \infty}(M)$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{q, \infty}(M)$ into $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{q, \infty}(M)$ $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{q, \infty}(M)$, respectively, *i.e.*

$$d : \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{q, \infty}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{q, \infty}(M), \quad d : \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{q, \infty}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{q, \infty}(M).$$

Keeping these facts in mind, we define two de Rham complexes as follows.

$$(2.23) \quad (\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_0}^{\bullet, \infty}(M), d) : 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{0, \infty}(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{1, \infty}(M)$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{2, \infty}(M) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$(\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\bullet, \infty}(M), d) : 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{0, \infty}(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{-, \mathcal{L}_0}}^{1, \infty}(M)$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{\mathcal{P}_{+, \mathcal{L}_1}}^{2, \infty}(M) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \longrightarrow 0.$$

We define two Hodge Laplacians $\Delta_{M, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^q$ and $\Delta_{M, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^q$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1$ by

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{M, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^q &:= d_q^* d_q + d_{q-1} d_{q-1}^*, \\ \text{Dom} \left(\Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^q \right) &= \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^{q, \infty}(M) := \begin{cases} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}^{q, \infty}(M) & \text{for } q \text{ even} \\ \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_+, \mathcal{L}_1}^{q, \infty}(M) & \text{for } q \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \\ \Delta_{M, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^q &:= d_q^* d_q + d_{q-1} d_{q-1}^*, \\ \text{Dom} \left(\Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^q \right) &= \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^{q, \infty}(M) := \begin{cases} \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_+, \mathcal{L}_1}^{q, \infty}(M) & \text{for } q \text{ even} \\ \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_-, \mathcal{L}_0}^{q, \infty}(M) & \text{for } q \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is straightforward (see Lemma 2.4 in [6]).

LEMMA 2.3. *The cohomologies of the complex $(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0/\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^{\bullet, \infty}(M), d)$ are given as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} H^q((\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^{\bullet, \infty}(M), d)) &= \ker \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^q = \begin{cases} H^q(M, Y) & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \\ H^q(M) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ H^q((\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^{\bullet, \infty}(M), d)) &= \ker \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^q = \begin{cases} H^q(M) & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \\ H^q(M, Y) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By analyzing the heat traces $\sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \text{Tr} (f^* e^{-t\Delta_{M, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0}^q})$ and $\sum_{q=0}^m (-1)^q \text{Tr} (f^* e^{-t\Delta_{M, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^q})$, the following is obtained, which is the main result of [6].

THEOREM 2.4. *Let (M, Y, g^M) and $f : M \rightarrow M$ be as above. On a collar neighborhood U of Y and for $0 \leq c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \neq 1$, we assume that $f(u, y) = (cu + u^2 \kappa(u), B(y))$ for some smooth function $\kappa : [0, \epsilon) \rightarrow [0, \epsilon)$, and $B(y)^* : \Omega^\bullet(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(Y)$ maps $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_+, \mathcal{L}_1$ into $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_-, \mathcal{L}_0$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_+, \mathcal{L}_1$, respectively. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \sum_{q=\text{even}} \text{Tr}(f^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) \\
& - \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \text{Tr}(f^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)) \\
& = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign det}(I - df(x)) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(1 - c) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_Y(f)} \text{sign det}(I - df_Y(y)) + \frac{1}{2} k_0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad & \sum_{q=\text{even}} \text{Tr}(f^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)) \\
& - \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \text{Tr}(f^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) \\
& = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign det}(I - df(x)) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(1 - c) \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_Y(f)} \text{sign det}(I - df_Y(y)) - \frac{1}{2} k_0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } k_0 = \text{Tr} \left(B^* : \star_Y \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \star_Y \mathcal{K} \right) - \text{Tr} \left(B^* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \right).$$

Remark: (1) Theorem 2.4 was proved in [6] when $\kappa(u) = 0$, $c > 1$ and $B(y) : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a local isometry. However, the theorem can be easily extended to this case.

(2) So far, we do not know how to extend Theorem 2.4 to a wider class of smooth functions.

3. Examples of Lefschetz fixed point formula on the complex $(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_0/\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1}^{\bullet, \infty}(M), d)$

In this section, we are going to give two examples showing Theorem 2.4. The first one is the following. Let $S^1 = \{e^{i\theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ be the round circle and $h : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be defined by $h(e^{i\theta}) = e^{ki\theta}$ for $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, h has $k - 1$ fixed points at $\left\{ e^{\frac{2\pi\ell}{k-1}i} \mid \ell = 0, 1, \dots, k-2 \right\}$. We note that for $y_\ell = e^{\frac{2\pi\ell}{k-1}i}$, $dh(x_\ell) : T_{y_\ell} S^1 \rightarrow T_{y_\ell} S^1$ is given by $dh(y_\ell)(v) = kv$.

For $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $T^n = S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$ the n -th product of S^1 and give the usual flat product metric on T^n . For $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $k_j \geq 2$, we define

$$(3.1) \quad f : T^n \rightarrow T^n, \quad f(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) = (e^{ik_1\theta_1}, \dots, e^{ik_n\theta_n}).$$

Then, f has $(k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1)$ fixed points. At each fixed point $y \in T^n$, it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad df(y) : T_y T^n \rightarrow T_y T^n, \quad df(y) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k_n \end{pmatrix},$$

which shows that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{sign}(\text{Id} - df(y)) = (-1)^n.$$

We denote $M = [0, 1] \times T^n$ with the usual flat product metric. Then, the boundary is $Y = \{0\} \times T^n \cup \{1\} \times T^n$. We choose a smooth function $\rho : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that ρ has exactly 3 fixed points at 0, u_0 , 1 with $0 < u_0 < 1$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(0) &= 0, & \rho(u_0) &= u_0, & \rho(1) &= 1, \\ 0 \leq \rho'(0) &< 1, & \rho'(u_0) &> 1, & 0 \leq \rho'(1) &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$(3.5) \quad F : [0, 1] \times T^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \times T^n, \quad F(u, y) = (\rho(u), f(y)).$$

Then, F has $3(k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1)$ fixed points. There are $(k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1)$ interior fixed points and $2(k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1)$ attracting boundary fixed points. At the interior fixed points, it follows that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(u_0, y) \in \mathcal{F}_0(F)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - dF(u_0, y)) &= - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - df(y)) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} (k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

At the boundary fixed points, it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(0, y), (1, y) \in \mathcal{F}_Y^+(F)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - dF(u_1, y)) &= 2 \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - df(y)) \\ &= (-1)^n 2(k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1 = 0$ or 1 . Hence, it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(u,y) \in \mathcal{F}_0(F) \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^+(F)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - dF(u, y)) &= (-1)^n (k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1), \\ \sum_{(u,y) \in \mathcal{F}_0(F) \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^-(F)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - dF(u, y)) &= - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign}(\text{Id} - df(y)) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} (k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We are now going to compute $H^q(M)$ by using the de Rham complex. We consider the de Rham complex

$$(3.9) \quad \rightarrow \Omega^{q-1}(M) \xrightarrow{d_{q-1}} \Omega^q(M) \xrightarrow{d_q} \Omega^{q+1}(M) \rightarrow$$

A q -form $\omega \in \Omega^q(M)$ can be expressed by $\omega = \omega_1 + du \wedge \omega_2$, where $\iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \omega_1 = \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \omega_2 = 0$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ the unit vector field normal to Y . Let $\iota : Y \rightarrow M$ be the natural inclusion. If $\omega_2|_Y = \iota^* \omega_2 = 0$, then ω is said to satisfy the absolute boundary condition. If $\omega_1|_Y = \iota^* \omega = 0$, then ω is said to satisfy the relative boundary condition. Let $\Omega_{\text{nor}}^q(M)$ and $\Omega_{\text{tan}}^q(M)$ be the space of all smooth q -forms satisfying the absolute and relative boundary conditions, respectively. Then, it is well known (for example, Theorem 2.7.3 in [4]) that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} H^q(M) &\cong \mathcal{H}^q(M) := \{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{nor}}^q(M) \mid d\omega = d^* \omega = 0\}, \\ H^q(M, Y) &\cong \mathcal{H}^q(M, Y) := \{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{tan}}^q(M) \mid d\omega = d^* \omega = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$(3.11) \quad d\vartheta_j = (0, 0, \dots, d\theta, 0, \dots, 0) \in \Omega^1(M).$$

Then, $\{d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{H}^q(M)$ and $\{du \wedge d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_{q-1}} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{q-1} \leq n\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{H}^q(M, Y)$, which leads to the following facts.

LEMMA 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(M) &\cong \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{q}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n!}{q!(n-q)!}}, & H^q(M, Y) &\cong \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{q-1}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n!}{(q-1)!(n-q+1)!}}, \\ F^* : H^q(M) &\rightarrow H^q(M), \\ F^*(d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}) &= k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}, \\ F^* : H^q(M, Y) &\rightarrow H^q(M, Y), \\ F^*(du \wedge d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_{q-1}}) &= k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_{q-1}} du \wedge d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_{q-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 leads to the following result.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} (F^* : \mathcal{H}^q(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(M)) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_q \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} \\
& = (1 - k_1)(1 - k_2) \cdots (1 - k_n) = (-1)^n (k_1 - 1)(k_2 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1), \\
& \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} (F^* : \mathcal{H}^q(M, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(M, Y)) \\
& = \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} (-1)^q \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{q-1} \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_{q-1}} \\
& = - \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_q \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} = -(1 - k_1)(1 - k_2) \cdots (1 - k_n) \\
& = (-1)^{n+1} (k_1 - 1)(k_2 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Eq.(3.12) together with (3.8) shows (1.5).

On the other hand, since $c = \rho'(0)$ or $\rho'(1)$, it follows that $0 < c < 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & \sum_{(u_0, y) \in \mathcal{F}_0(F)} \operatorname{sign} \det(I - dF(u_0, y)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \operatorname{sign} \det(I - df(y)) \\
& = (-1)^{n+1} (k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot (-1)^n (k_1 - 1) \cdots (k_n - 1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \sum_{q=\text{even}} \operatorname{Tr} (F^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) = \sum_{q=\text{even}} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{q-1} \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_{q-1}} \\
& = \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_q \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} = \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \operatorname{Tr} (F^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)), \\
& \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \operatorname{Tr} (F^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) = \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{q-1} \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_{q-1}} \\
& = \sum_{q=\text{even}} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_q \leq n} k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} = \sum_{q=\text{even}} \operatorname{Tr} (F^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & \sum_{q=\text{even}} \text{Tr}(F^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) \\
& - \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \text{Tr}(F^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)) = 0, \\
& \sum_{q=\text{even}} \text{Tr}(F^* : H^q(M) \rightarrow H^q(M)) \\
& - \sum_{q=\text{odd}} \text{Tr}(F^* : H^q(M, Y) \rightarrow H^q(M, Y)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We finally consider \mathcal{K}^q and $\star_Y \mathcal{K}^q$. We note that $M = [0, 1] \times T^n$ and $Y = \{0\} \times T^n \cup \{1\} \times T^n$. We denote $\iota_0 : \{0\} \times T^n \rightarrow M$ and $\iota_1 : \{1\} \times T^n \rightarrow M$. Then, $(\iota_0, \iota_1) : Y \rightarrow M$ is the natural inclusion. The harmonic space $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(M)$ on M is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \mathcal{H}^\bullet(M) = \oplus_{q=0}^n \{d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n\} \\
& \subset \Omega^\bullet(M).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, \mathcal{K}^q is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \mathcal{K}^q = \{(d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}, d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}) \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n\} \\
& \subset \Omega^\bullet(T^n) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(T^n).
\end{aligned}$$

We note that an orientation of $\{0\} \times T^n$ is opposite to an orientation of $\{1\} \times T^n$. We choose and fix an orientation $d \text{vol}(T^n)$ of $\{0\} \times T^n$ induced from an orientation of M . Then, the orientation of $\{1\} \times T^n$ is $-d \text{vol}(T^n)$. Hence,

$$(3.18) \quad \star_Y \mathcal{K}^q = \{(\star_{T^n} \omega, -\star_{T^n} \omega) \mid (\omega, \omega) \in \mathcal{K}^q\}.$$

Since $B(y) = f(y)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & B^* = f^* : \mathcal{K}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^q, \\
& f^* (d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}, d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}) \\
& = k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} (d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}, d\vartheta_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{j_q}), \\
& B^* = f^* : \star_Y \mathcal{K}^{n-q} \rightarrow \star_Y \mathcal{K}^{n-q}, \\
& f^* (\star_{T^n} d\vartheta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{i_{n-q}}, -\star_{T^n} d\vartheta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{i_{n-q}}) \\
& = k_{j_1} \cdots k_{j_q} (\star_{T^n} d\vartheta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{i_{n-q}}, -\star_{T^n} d\vartheta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\vartheta_{i_{n-q}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-q}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} - \{j_1, \dots, j_q\}$. This shows that

$$(3.20) \quad k_0 = \text{Tr} \left(B^* : \star_Y \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \star_Y \mathcal{K} \right) - \text{Tr} \left(B^* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \right) = 0,$$

which together with (3.13) and (3.15) shows Theorem 2.4.

The second example is the following. We denote $\mathbb{D}^2 = \{z = x + iy \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and define $f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2$ by $f(z) = z^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $f(z)$ has n fixed points, which are $z = 0$ and $z = e^{\frac{2\pi\ell}{n-1}i}$, $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. Simple computation shows that

$$(3.21) \quad df(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad df(e^{\frac{2\pi\ell}{n-1}i}) = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix},$$

which shows that

$$(3.22) \quad \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(0)) = \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(e^{\frac{2\pi\ell}{n-1}i})) = 1.$$

We choose a Riemann metric on \mathbb{D}^2 , which is a product one on a collar neighborhood $U = (\epsilon_0, 1] \times S^1$ of the boundary. For $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_0^{\frac{1}{n}}$, it follows that

$$(3.23) \quad f|_U : (\epsilon_1, 1] \times S^1 \rightarrow (\epsilon_0, 1] \times S^1, \quad f(r, e^{i\theta}) = (r^n, e^{in\theta}),$$

which shows that all the boundary fixed points are repelling. We define $\phi_0 : (\epsilon_0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1 - \epsilon_0]$ by $\phi_0(r) = 1 - r$ and $\phi_1 : (\epsilon_1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1 - \epsilon_1]$ by $\phi_1(r) = 1 - r$. Then, $f|_U$ can be rewritten by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f}|_U &: [0, 1 - \epsilon_1] \times S^1 \rightarrow [0, 1 - \epsilon_1] \times S^1, \\ \widetilde{f}|_U(r, e^{i\theta}) &= (1 - (1 - r)^n, e^{in\theta}) = (nr + r^2\kappa(u), e^{in\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa(u) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left\{ 1 - (1 - r)^n - nr \right\}$. We denote $f_Y := f|_{S^1}$ and note that

$$(3.24) \quad f_Y : S^1 \rightarrow S^1, \quad f_Y(e^{i\theta}) = e^{in\theta},$$

which shows that for $y_\ell = e^{\frac{2\pi\ell}{n-1}i}$,

$$(3.25) \quad df_Y(y_\ell) : T_{y_\ell} S^1 \rightarrow T_{y_\ell} S^1, \quad df_Y(y_\ell)(v) = nv,$$

and $\text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df_Y(y_\ell)) = -1$. Hence, we obtain the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.26) \quad & \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(x)) = 1, \\
& \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f) \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^-(f)} \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(x)) = 1 + (n - 1) = n, \\
& \sum_{y \in \mathcal{F}_0(f_Y)} \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df_Y(y)) = -(n - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{K} = \{\text{constant functions}\}, \quad \star_Y \mathcal{K} = \{rd\theta \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

which shows that

$$(3.28) \quad B^* = f_Y^* : \star_Y \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \star_Y \mathcal{K}, f_Y^*(d\theta) = nd\theta, B^* = f_Y^* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}, f_Y^*(1) = 1.$$

Hence, k_0 defined in Theorem 2.4 is $n - 1$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.29) \quad & H^0(\mathbb{D}^2) = \mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{D}^2) = H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) = 0, \\
& H^0(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) = H^1(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) = 0, H^2(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) = \mathbb{R}, \\
& f^* : H^0(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{D}^2), f^*(1) = 1, \\
& f^* : H^2(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1), f^*(1) = n.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain the following result.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{q=0}^2 (-1)^q \text{Tr} \left(f^* : H^q(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{D}^2) \right) \\
& \quad = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(x)) = 1, \\
& \sum_{q=0}^2 (-1)^q \text{Tr} \left(f^* : H^q(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \right) \\
& \quad = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_Y^-(f)} \text{sign det}(\text{Id} - df(x)) = n,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (1.5). We also note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{q=\text{even}}^2 (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} \left(f^* : H^q(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{q=\text{odd}}^2 (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} \left(f^* : H^q(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{D}^2) \right) \\
& = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \operatorname{sign} \det(\operatorname{Id} - df(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f_Y)} \operatorname{sign} \det(\operatorname{Id} - df(x)) + \frac{1}{2} k_0 \\
& = n, \\
& \sum_{q=\text{even}}^2 (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} \left(f^* : H^q(\mathbb{D}^2) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{D}^2) \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{q=\text{odd}}^2 (-1)^q \operatorname{Tr} \left(f^* : H^q(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{D}^2, S^1) \right) \\
& = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f)} \operatorname{sign} \det(\operatorname{Id} - df(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F}_0(f_Y)} \operatorname{sign} \det(\operatorname{Id} - df(x)) - \frac{1}{2} k_0 \\
& = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows Theorem 2.4.

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. I*, Ann. Math., **86** (1967), 374-407.
- [2] F. Bei, *The L^2 -Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz theorem on manifolds with conical singularities: a heat kernel approach*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **44** (2013), 565-605.
- [3] V. A. Brenner and M. A. Shubin, *Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz formula for elliptic complexes on manifolds with boundary*, J. Soviet Math., **64** (1993), 1069-1111.
- [4] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press, Inc., 1994.
- [5] R.-T. Huang and Y. Lee, *The refined analytic torsion and a well-posed boundary condition for the odd signature operator*, J. Geom. Phys., **126** (2018), 68-92.
- [6] R.-T. Huang and Y. Lee, *Lefschetz fixed point formula on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary for some boundary conditions*, Geom. Dedicata., **181** (2016), 43-59.
- [7] P. Kirk and M. Lesch, *The η -invariant, Maslov index and spectral flow for Dirac-type operators on manifolds with boundary*, Forum Math., **16** (2004), no.4, 553-629.
- [8] J. Roe, *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods(2nd Ed.)*, Research Notes in Mathematics series 395, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1998.

Yoonweon Lee
Department of Mathematics Education
Inha University
Incheon, 22212, Republic of Korea
E-mail: yoonweon@inha.ac.kr